

Infrastructure maintenance automation

1 Contents

2	Goals	2
3	Data-driven	2
4	Git-controlled	2
5	Idempotent	2
6	Scalable	2
7	Single source of truth	2
8	Reproducible	3
9		3
LO	Basic approach	3
11		3
12		3
13	Longer term approach	3
L4	Centralized metadata	4
15		5
16	Implementation	5
۱7	Add test mode for current branching scripts	5
18		5
19		5
20		7
21	This document describes the goals and the approaches for automating the man	n-
22	agement of the infrastructure used by Apertis. It will focus in particular of	n
23	release branching since the new release flow ¹ implies that Apertis will nee	d
24	to go through that process two or three times more than in the past on each	h
25	quarter.	

Goals Goals

27 Data-driven

- 28 Separating the description of the desired infrastructure state from the tools to
- 29 apply it nicely separates the two concerns: in most cases the tools won't need
- 30 to be updated during branching, only the desired infrastructure state changes.

31 Git-controlled

- 32 Basing everything on configuration stored in a Git repository has several advantages:
 - all the changes are tracked over time

 $^{^{1} \}rm https://jwd.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/policies/release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/\#apertis-release-flow/#apertis-re$

- the standard Apertis workflows based on GitLab merge requests can be used to review changes
- fine access controls can be configured via GitLab

38 Idempotent

- Every tool should compare the current state with the desired one and not pro-
- 40 duce errors when they already match. Administrators should be able to run the
- tools at any time, multiple times, without any ill effect.

42 Scalable

- The Apertis infrastructure is composed of enough services that a centralized list
- of things to update when branching is doomed to be outdated every quarter.

45 Single source of truth

- 46 The duplication of the same information between modules should be minimized,
- such that updating the single source of truth automatically produces effects on
- the depending modules.

49 Reproducible

- 50 Running the tools in a standardized, easily reproducible environment enables
- ⁵¹ all the administrators to easily deploy changes without any special setup.

52 Explicit

- All the needed information should be explicitly encoded in metadata repository.
- The tools using it should strive to not make any assumption on the data and
- 55 derive more information out of it. This is another facet of ensuring that the
- 56 metadata repository remains the single source of truth.

57 Basic approach

- 58 The basic approach aims at improving the current branching scripts to make
- $_{59}$ them easier to test by developers, enabling more people to work on them, and
- to extend them to fully handle the complete branching process.

61 Add test mode for current branching scripts

- 62 At the moment the quarterly release branching is done through a set of scripts²
- that get invoked manually by one the Apertis infrastructure team member from
- 64 their machine.

 $[\]overline{^2} https://gitlab.apertis.org/infrastructure/apertis-infrastructure/tree/apertis/v2020pre/release-scripts$

- 65 They act directly on the live services using the caller's accounts.
- 66 The first step for improving the branching automation is to add a "dry-run"
- 67 mode to all the current release scripts to let developers and admin run them

68 Improve coverage of current branching scripts

- 69 The scripts currently in charge of reducing the manual intervention during the
- $_{70}$ branching process do not cover all services and repositories which are part of
- 71 Apertis.
- Once the "dry-run" mode is in place, new steps need to be added to the branch-
- ₇₃ ing scripts to cover the missing services and repositories.

Longer term approach

- Larger refactorings are needed to align the current infrastructure to the goals
- 76 previously described.
- 77 The following sections describe the steps needed to further improve the infras-
- ⁷⁸ tructure maintenance to make it more robust and require less effort to manage.

79 Centralized metadata

- A new git repository contains the principal metadata about the whole Apertis infrastructure describing:
 - the currently active release branches
 - e.g. v2020pre, v2019, etc.
 - their phase in the release lifecyle
 - e.g. development, preview, stable
 - their release status
 - e.g. frozen, release-candidate, released
 - the release from which they get branched from:
 - e.g. 2019pre for both v2019 and v2020dev0
 - the matching git branch name
 - $-\mathrm{e.g.}$ apertis/v2019
 - the APT components they ship
- e.g. target, development, sdk, hmi
 - etc

83

84

89

92

- This provides a git-controlled single source of truth: tools are updated to fetch
- the information they need from this repository.
- 97 For instance, the creation of OBS projects should be handled by a tool that:
 - fetches the above YAML
 - checks the current OBS configuration
- computes the changes needed compared to the desired state, if any

- applies the changes, if any, to align the actual state to the desired state, providing an idempotent solution
- runs from a GitLab pipeline, providing a reproducible environment that can be either triggered by changes in the main data repository or manually

The current infrastructure encodes a lot of information about the releases in several places: tools should be changed to fetch such information on the fly from the main data repository or GitLab pipelines should be configured to monitor the main data repository and automatically apply changes accordingly.

For instance, the LAVA job templates encode the branch name of the release they track in multiple places. Either the templates can be enhanced to fetch the information on the fly from the main data repository, or a pipeline should be configured in a dedicated branch in the repository to monitor the main data repository and branch/update the repository accordingly.

The change compared to the current approach is to minimize the amount of information that needs to be branched and distribute the branching logic closer to the entity to be branched. This is meant to avoid the recurring issues where the current centralized branching scripts failed to branch things properly or did not include new components to be branched at all.

119 Per-repository branching operations

For most repositories it is sufficient to add a new git ref when branching for a new release. In particular, nearly all the the packaging ones do not need any change to the repository contents and creating a new ref is enough.

Other repositories need instead some changes to be applied to the contents once a new release branch is created. A common reason is that the release name is encoded in some file, which means that the file needs to be updated and the change needs to be committed and pushed.

By making branching self-contained in the repositories, moving and renaming them no longer cause breakage. It also gives full control over the branching of a repository to the people managing that repository, rather than those who manage the centralized repository. This can be especially useful for components not managed by the core Apertis team, owned instead by product-specific teams.

In general, keeping the branching operation in the same place as the rest of the contents helps in keeping them coeherent and makes testing easier.

³⁴ Implementation

101

102

103

105

106

108

Add test mode for current branching scripts

Setting the NOACT=y environment variable causes the branching scripts to run in test mode, without actually launching the branching commands.

138 Improve coverage of current branching scripts

- New actions need to be taken when branching a new release.
- This is a non exhaustive list:

141

142

144

146

- branch LAVA job templates;
 - update the configuration on GitLab repositories to create the new release branch, make it the default, etc.;
 - create the relevant :snapshots repositories on OBS;
- add support for the security, updates and backports repositories when branching stable releases.

147 Centralized metadata

The centralized information can be modeled as YAML, for instance:

```
1
    .common_components: &common_components
 2
       - target
 3
       - development
 4
       - sdk
 5
       - hmi
 6
 7
     projects:
 8
       apertis:
 9
         releases:
10
          v2019:
11
             lifecycle: stable
12
             status: released
13
             branched-from: v2019pre
14
             branch-name: apertis/v2019
             upstream: debian/buster
15
             obs-build-suffix: v2019.0
16
             suites:
17
18
               v2019:
19
                 obs-pattern: '$project:$release:$component'
20
                 components: *common_components
21
               v2019-updates:
                 obs-pattern: '$project:$release:updates:$component'
2.2
23
                 components: *common_components
24
               v2019-security:
25
                 obs-pattern: '$project:$release:security:$component'
26
                 components: *common_components
27
             infrastructure-packages:
               obs: apertis:infrastructure:v2019
28
29
               suite: infrastructure-v2019
30
               components:
31
                 - buster
32
           v2020dev0:
33
             lifecycle: development
34
             status: frozen
35
             branched-from: v2019pre
36
             branch-name: apertis/v2020dev0
37
             upstream: debian/buster
38
             obs-build-suffix: v2020dev0
39
             suites:
40
41
                 obs-pattern: '$project:$release:$component'
42
                 components: *common_components
             infrastructure-packages:
43
               obs: apertis:infrastructure:v2019
44
45
               suite: infrastructure-v2019
46
               components:
                 - buster
47
                                      7
48
```

Per-repository branching operations

A release-branching step should be added to the GitLab CI pipeline YAML in the repository with the purpose of ensuring that the release-specific contents match the branch name.

GitLab does not provide any way to execute an action only when a new ref is created so the best strategy is to ensure that the release-branching script is idempotent and gets run when changes land to any apertis/* refs: if no changes are detected the step succeeds with no further operations, otherwise it commit and push the changes automatically, or it submits a MR to be reviewed before landing the changes.