

Interface discovery

1 Contents

2	Use cases
3	In other systems
4	Security considerations
5	Restricting who can advertise a given interface
6	Communication between consumers and implementors
7	Visibility of applications to other applications 4
8	Recommendation
9	Selecting a preferred implementation
0	Enabling/disabling providers
1	Restricting who can advertise a given interface 6
2	Communication between consumers and implementors
3	Visibility of applications to other applications

Various features on Apertis require a way to discover the applications and/or agents that implement a particular set of functionality. We refer to the "API contract" for this set of functionality as an *interface*.

Use cases

- A global search user interface¹ requires a list of agents that can act as "Auxiliary Sources" (see §6.2 in the Global Search design document). For example, a Spotify client might register itself as a search provider so that searching for a term in a global search will find artists or songs matching that term.
- An application that will display a Sharing² menu similar to the one in Android requires a list of applications with which files or data can be shared.
- A navigation app, potentially from an app-store, obtains points of interest³ from a number of providers, again potentially from an app-store. In a "pull" model, the navigation app would consume the interface "points-of-interest provider" by sending queries to the implementors and getting results back, and the points-of-interest providers would implement that interface. Conversely, in a "push" model, the navigation app could implement the interface "points-of-interest sink", and the points-of-interest providers could consume that interface by sending points of interest to each sink.
- If more than one navigation app is installed (for example because an Apertis system includes the OEM's own simple navigation solution, but it is possible to install premium navigation software from the app-store), a settings user interface to select the preferred navigation app might need to list all the possible navigation apps.

¹/images/apertis-global-search-design-0.3.2.pdf

²https://jwd.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/concepts/sharing/

³https://jwd.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/concepts/points_of_interest/

- Interface discovery could potentially be used with the interface "is the preferred navigation app" to start the navigation app on-demand. If it is, it must be possible to mark one as preferred.
- A navigation app could have a preferences dialog in which points of interest⁴ providers can be selected or deselected. It should not display points of interest from deselected providers, and should not waste system resources on receiving points of interest from those providers. However, if another application also consumes points of interest, disabling a points of interest provider in the navigation app should not prevent it from being used by the other application.
- The platform could have a preferences dialog in which points of interest⁵ providers can be selected or deselected. If a POI provider is deselected here, POI consumers such as the navigation app should behave as though the deselected provider had not been installed at all.

In other systems

40

41

42

44

48

50

51

52

53

GNOME Shell's search provider API⁶ relies on applications registering their support for the search provider "interface" by installing files in /usr/share/gnome-shell/search-providers. This is not ideally suited to a platform like Apertis with a strong division between the "platform" and "app bundle" layers, and does not generalize trivially (each interface would have to define its own location in which to place metadata files).

The freedesktop.org Desktop Entry specification⁷ shared by GNOME, KDE and other open source desktop environments uses .desktop metadata files to store metadata about applications. It defines an Interfaces key⁸ whose value is a list of syntactically valid D-Bus interface names⁹. Each interface name may represent either a D-Bus interface, or any other "API contract"; there is no requirement that D-Bus is actually used.

67 Security considerations

Restricting who can advertise a given interface

If arbitrary ISVs¹⁰ can publish app-bundles that advertise arbitrary interfaces, there is a risk that consumers of those interfaces would have an inappropriate level of trust in those app-bundles by assuming that only their own app-bundles

 $^{^{4} \}rm https://jwd.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/concepts/points_of_interest/$

⁵https://jwd.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/concepts/points_of_interest/

⁶https://git.gnome.org/browse/gnome-shell/tree/js/ui/remoteSearch.js

⁷http://standards.freedesktop.org/desktop-entry-spec/latest/

 $^{^8 \}rm http://standards.freedesktop.org/desktop-entry-spec/desktop-entry-spec-latest.html \# interfaces$

 $^{^9 \}rm http://dbus.freedesktop.org/doc/dbus-specification.html\#message-protocol-names-interface$

¹⁰https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Independent software vendor

can advertise "their" interfaces, for example "leaking" private information to them.

74 Communication between consumers and implementors

- If a particular interface involves direct communication between a consumer and an implementor, then discovery is not sufficient: it is also necessary to ensure that the security model allows the consumer and the implementor to communicate. Conversely, if a particular interface forces all communication between a consumer and an implementor through a trusted intermediary such as Didcot, then it is necessary to ensure that the security model allows both the consumer and the implementor to communicate with the trusted intermediary, and that the trusted intermediary is able to determine that forwarding data between consumer and implementor will not violate the security model.
- The desired security model for this interface is that some subset of interfaces are considered to be *public interfaces*. Trusted platform components may list the implementors of any interface, public or not, and may initiate communication with those implementors. Store applications may list the implementors of public interfaces, and may initiate communication with the implementors of public interfaces, but cannot do the same for non-public interfaces.

90 Visibility of applications to other applications

Our security model does not consider it to be acceptable for app-bundles to be able to enumerate other app-bundles' entry points (with the exception that public interfaces may be enumerated). This implies that the implementation of get_implementations() (and the objects that it returns) must be done via IPC (most likely D-Bus) to a trusted service such as Didcot or Canterbury, which can read the .desktop files in XDG_DATA_DIRS/applications and apply appropriate filtering for the caller's limited view of the system.

98 Recommendation

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

For each application or agent (entry point) in an application bundle, we recommend that a freedesktop.org .desktop file is provided in a standard location such as /var/lib/apertis_extensions/applications by installing the application bundle. Possible implementations of this:

- The store publication process could verify that the contents of the provided .desktop file are appropriate for the application's manifest.
- The store publication process could generate a .desktop file from the application's manifest, with no control from the application author, other than to the extent that they can control the manifest and still have it approved by the app-store curator.
- The application manager could generate a .desktop file from the application's manifest during installation.

The resulting .desktop file should contain the standardized Interfaces key as described above.

This information should be made available to API users via a C API resembling GLib's GAppInfo¹¹ and GDesktopAppInfo¹² APIs, in particular g_desktop_app_info_get_implementations()¹³. However, we recommend an asynchronous version of that API in order to support the implementation being via D-Bus. Specifically, it should look something like this, with Namespace replaced by some suitable API namespace such as Didcot:

```
119
            namespace_app_registry_get_implementations_async
                                                                 (NamespaceAppReg-
                         const gchar *interface_name,
    istry *self,
                                                               GCancellable *can-
120
    cellable,
                     GAsyncReadyCallback *callback,
                                                             gpointer user data):
121
       Returns: (element-type GAppInfo) (transfer full): */ GList *names-
122
   pace_app_registry_get_implementations_finish
                                                    (NamespaceAppRegistry
123
                              GError **error);
   GAsyncResult *result,
124
```

where the result is a list of objects that implement the GAppInfo GInterface.

If there is an order of preference, the most-preferred should come first. If there
is no particular preference order, the implementation should use a predictable
order, such as ordering by most-recently-used, most-recently-installed or alphabetically.

Either this could be implemented in terms of a D-Bus API, or it could have a D-Bus API based on it for access by non-C applications, for example:

```
132 /* returns a list of pairs (desktop file ID, text of .desktop file) */
133 org.apertis.Namespace1.GetImplementations(s interface_name) \rightarrow a(ss)
```

For interfaces (API contracts) that already have a system-wide registration mechanism, such as Telepathy connection managers, D-Bus session services and systemd user services, we recommend adopting the existing mechanism instead, using appropriate subdirectories of /var/lib/apertis_extensions where necessary.

¹³⁹ Selecting a preferred implementation

Some of the possible use-cases for interfaces benefit from the concept of a preferred implementation: for example, a navigation button should launch the preferred (default) navigation application, and if points-of-interest providers have a "push" model, they should not start non-preferred navigation applications in order to push points of interest into those implementations.

For other use-cases, having a preferred implementation is unnecessary: for example, for a Sharing menu, global search, or points-of-interest providers with

¹¹https://developer.gnome.org/gio/stable/GAppInfo.html

 $^{^{12} \}rm https://developer.gnome.org/gio/stable/gio-Desktop-file-based-GAppInfo.html$

 $^{^{13} \}rm https://developer.gnome.org/gio/stable/gio-Desktop-file-based-GAppInfo.html\#g-desktop-app-info-get-implementations$

a "pull" model, the natural design is to query all known implementations in parallel, possibly excluding some that have been disabled.

We recommend addressing the question of a default/preferred implementation 149 on a case-by-case basis (for example by introducing a platform setting for each 150 interface that needs a preferred choice), and only developing a more general 151 solution if experience demonstrates that it is needed in practice. 152

For example, a preferred navigation application could be selected with an API 153 154

```
void namespace_app_reqistry_get_default_navigation_implementation_async (Names-
155
   paceAppRegistry *self,
                                     GCancellable *cancellable.
                                                                            GAsyn-
   cReadyCallback *callback,
                                         gpointer user_data); GAppInfo
                                                                          *names-
157
   pace_app_registry_get_default_navigation_implementation_finish (NamespaceAp-
                        GAsyncResult *result,
   pRegistry *self,
                                                   GError **error);
159
   if required.
```

The storage of preferred implementations should be considered to be an implementation detail of the platform component that implements this platform API. 162

For example, it could have a GSetting for each well-known interface, whose value 163 is the string app-ID (D-Bus well-known name) of the preferred entry-point, or 164

an ordered list of preferred entry-points with the most-preferred first. 165

Enabling/disabling providers

160

182

183

If a provider is disabled system-wide, the platform component that implements interface discovery (for example Didcot) must behave as though it was not 168 installed at all when answering queries from other components. The storage of 169 enabled/disabled implementations can be considered to be an implementation 170 detail of that component: for example it could store a string-list of disabled app IDs in GSettings. Uninstalling a provider should probably remove it from that 172 list, so that reinstalling the provider automatically enables it. 173

If a provider is disabled for a particular consumer, we recommend that the con-174 sumer stores its own string-list of disabled app IDs, and filters the results of 175 queries on the client-side, encapsulated in a library. This probably only makes sense for interfaces where the consumer will use all non-disabled implementa-177 178

Restricting who can advertise a given interface

We recommend that interfaces advertised by a provider should be restricted by app-store curators, as follows: 181

• each ISV¹⁴ that will publish apps on the app-store registers one or more reversed-DNS prefixes with the app-store curator as part of their

¹⁴https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Independent_software_vendor

- app-developer account (for example, Collabora Ltd. might register com.collabora and/or uk.co.collabora)
- the app-store curator verifies the ISV's ownership of the relevant domain names before accepting uploads from that ISV
- app-bundles published by the ISV may implement interface names in the namespace of those reversed-DNS prefixes without necessarily triggering extensive checking by the app-store curator (for example, Collabora Ltd. could publish an app-bundle implementing com.collabora.MyInterface)
- a whitelist of known-"safe" interface names in shared namespaces such
 as org.apertis, org.freedesktop and org.gnome could also be implemented
 without necessarily triggering extra checks by the app-store curator (for
 example, an org.apertis.SharingProvider interface which adds the app to
 the Sharing menu might be considered to be "safe" for anyone to implement)
- all other interface names would be "red flags" leading to rejection or additional checking by the app-store curator

This implies that cooperating ISVs cannot invent their own interfaces without app-store curators' involvement.

It is important to note that if the platform initially has this policy, it cannot be relaxed to "anyone may implement any interface" later. If it was, ISVs writing previously-correct code would potentially become susceptible to cross-app resource access attacks (for example, if the ISV owning example.net had assumed that every implementation of net.example.MyInterface was necessarily trusted code).

Communication between consumers and implementors

App-bundles that implement of public interfaces should receive AppArmor profiles allowing them to receive D-Bus method calls from anywhere.

App-bundles that do not implement public interfaces should receive AppArmor profiles that allow D-Bus method calls from platform services, and from other processes from the same app-bundle, but deny other D-Bus method calls.

Visibility of applications to other applications

App-bundles' AppArmor profiles should not give them read access to /var/lib/apertis_extensions/applications or to other app-bundles' manifests.

The implementation of the interface discovery should be done via D-Bus. The service providing this D-Bus API (for example Didcot) should be a platform component. It is considered to be a trusted component for the purposes of security between app-bundles: it must reveal public interface implementations to other app-bundles, but must only reveal non-public interface implementations to trusted platform components.

184

185

186

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196 197

198

199

201

¹⁵https://www.collabora.com/